

The court finds good cause exists to extend the discovery deadline until the next business day after the requested date. Ms. Cubeyro argues no extension of time is necessary because the parties' discovery dispute may be resolved by recalculating figures present in existing discovery. **See** [Filing No. 53](#). However, the trial has not yet been set in this case and the planning conference was recently continued. Therefore the discovery extension will not cause delay in resolution of the case. Moreover, Ms. Cubeyro fails to

allege she will suffer any prejudice by the brief extension of time. The court will review the scheduling of all deadlines during the telephone conference set for September 5, 2012. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. The plaintiff's Opposed Motion to Extend Discovery Deadline ([Filing No. 46](#)) is granted.

2. Olivia M. Cubeyro's Objection ([Filing No. 53](#)) is overruled.

3. The parties shall have until **October 1, 2012**, to complete discovery.

DATED this 8th day of August, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge